408(a) of the Act and/or section 4975(c)(2) of the Code does not relieve a fiduciary or other party in interest or disqualified person from certain other provisions to which the exemptions does not apply and the general fiduciary responsibility provisions of section 404 of the Act, which among other things require a fiduciary to discharge his duties respecting the plan solely in the interest of the participants and beneficiaries of the plan and in a prudent fashion in accordance with section 404(a)(1)(B) of the Act; nor does it affect the requirement of section 401(a) of the Code that the plan must operate for the exclusive benefit of the employees of the employer maintaining the plan and their beneficiaries;

(2) These exemptions are supplemental to and not in derogation of, any other provisions of the Act and/or the Code, including statutory or administrative exemptions and transactional rules. Furthermore, the fact that a transaction is subject to an administrative or statutory exemption is not dispositive of whether the transaction is in fact a prohibited transaction; and

(3) The availability of these exemptions is subject to the express condition that the material facts and representations contained in each application are true and complete and accurately describe all material terms of the transaction which is the subject of the exemption. In the case of continuing exemption transactions, if any of the material facts or representations described in the application change after the exemption is granted, the exemption will cease to apply as of the date of such change. In the event of any such change, application for a new exemption may be made to the Department.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 21st day of June, 1995.

Ivan Strasfeld,

Director of Exemption Determinations, Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration, U.S. Department of Labor.

[FR Doc. 95–15521 Filed 6–23–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–29–P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Collection of Information Submitted for OMB Review

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act and OMB Guidelines, the National Science Foundation is posting an expedited notice of information collection that will affect the public. Interested persons are invited to submit comments by July 24, 1995. Copies of materials may be obtained at the NSF address or telephone number shown below.

(A) Agency Clearance Officer. Herman G. Fleming, Division of Contracts, Policy and Oversight, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230, or by telephone (703) 306–1243. Comments may also be submitted to:

(B) *OMB Desk Office*. Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, ATTN: Jonathan Winer, Desk Officer, OMB, 722 Jackson Place, Room 3208, NEOB, Washington, DC 20503.

Title: Evaluation of the Instrumentation and Laboratory Improvement Program.

Affected Public: Not for Profit institutions.

Respondents/Reporting Burden: 1,500 respondents: average 38 minutes per response.

Abstract: This study will evaluate NSF's Instrumentation and Laboratory Improvement Program in the years 1988–1994. It will document and evaluate the scope and coverage of the program during this period and will assess its impacts on affected students, faculty, and institutions.

Dated: June 20, 1995.

Herman G. Fleming,

Reports Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. 95–15498 Filed 6–23–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 40-8027]

Sequoyah Fuels Corporation Facility in Gore, OK; Information Meeting

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

Information Meeting on the Remediation of Sequoyah Fuels Corporation Facility in Gore, Oklahoma. **SUMMARY:** This notice is to inform the public of a meeting to share information related to the current status of and proposed decommissioning options for the Sequoyah Fuels Corporation (SFC) facility near Gore, OK. Interested individuals are invited to attend this meeting scheduled for June 27, 1995, at the Vian High School Auditorium. The purpose of the meeting is to bring together members of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region VI, SFC representatives, representatives from other Federal agencies, State officials, local officials,

Cherokee Nation, and citizen groups to share information related to current and future actions at the SFC facility.

BACKGROUND: The Sequoyah Fuels facility is located in Sequoyah County, approximately 2 miles southeast of Gore, Oklahoma, above the Arkansas and Illinois Rivers. From 1970 through 1992, the SFC facility was used to convert uranium oxide (yellow cake) to uranium hexafluoride (UF $_6$) and from 1987 through 1993 to convert depleted UF $_6$ to depleted uranium tetrafluoride. In 1993, SFC ceased operations and submitted to NRC a preliminary plan that described a proposed remediation plan of the site.

During the operational period, radioactive materials generated at the Sequoyah Fuels facilities were disposed of on-site in accordance with the former 10 CFR 20.304, chemical and radioactively contaminated materials were transferred to on site ponds, and sludge and other process materials were disposed of by burial on-site. One remediation alternative under consideration by the licensee is an onsite disposal cell based on the criteria used at uranium mill tailings sites (10 CFR Part 40, Appendix A). SFC (the licensee) will be required to meet the NRC's decommissioning criteria, as described the Site Decommissioning Management Plan Action Plan (57 FR 13389, dated April 16, 1992) or the final requirements to be established through **Enhanced Participatory Rulemaking** (proposed rule published on August 22, 1994 [59 FR 43200]).

In 1990 and 1991, the licensee conducted characterization of the areas in the vicinity of the main process and solvent extraction buildings and the ponds. Results of this characterization effort are documented in the "Facility **Environmental Investigation Findings** Report" that was issued in July 1991. The licensee is currently performing characterization activities for the remainder of the site and will submit a Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Facility Investigation Report to EPA in December 1995, and a Site Characterization Report (SCR) to NRC in January 1996.

conduct the first in a series of meetings on June 27, 1995, in the Vian High School Auditorium, 100 School St., Vian, OK (Exit 297 North from I–40). The meeting will begin at 7:00 p.m. and will end at 10:00 p.m. The meeting will be facilitated by F.X. Cameron, Special Counsel for Public Liaison at NRC. The purpose of this meeting is to share, with representative stakeholders and the public, information about the status of

current actions at the SFC facility, projected schedules and plans for the decommission of the site, and the responsibilities of the NRC and other regulatory agencies in the decommissioning process. The meetings will consist of invited representatives from the following groups: NRC; EPA; other Federal agencies; State officials; Cherokee Nation; the licensee; local officials; and local citizen groups.

Invited representatives will present their views on the Sequoyah Fuels facility in a facilitated round-table discussion. An agenda for the meeting will be prepared and distributed to all invited representatives, as well as placed in the local public document room in advance of the meeting. Time will be provided for public comment during the meetings. Comments and questions will generally be limited to topics contained in the agenda. Future Information Meetings will be held periodically concerning other issues related to the SFC facility.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jim Shepherd, Project Manager, Division of Waste Management, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Mail Stop T7–F27, Washington, DC 20555, telephone (301) 415–6712.

Dated at Rockville, MD, this 16th day of June 1995.

For the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Michael F. Weber.

Chief, Low-Level Waste and Decommissioning Projects Branch, Division of Waste Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 95–15532 Filed 6–23–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–M

[Docket Nos. 50-282, 50-306, and 72-10]

Northern States Power Co.; Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant Receipt of Petition for Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that by letter dated June 5, 1995, the Nuclear Information and Resource Service (NIRS) and the Prairie Island Coalition Against Nuclear Storage (PICANS) request that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take immediate action with regard to primary pressure boundary examinations, the retrievability of irradiated (spent) fuel, and the retrievability of the reactor core at the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant.

The Petitioners request immediate suspension of the operating licenses of Northern States Power Company's (NSP's) Prairie Island Units 1 and 2 until several actions are taken, including an examination of the Prairie Island Units 1 and 2 primary pressure boundaries, a safety analysis of the irradiated fuel retrievability plan and proper approval of the plan, additional crane testing, and, if any of their requests are denied, an evening public hearing in the geographic vicinity of the Prairie Island facility.

As the basis for this request, the Petitioners state that the Prairie Island steam generators are suffering from tube degradation and may rupture unless proper testing is conducted and corrective actions are taken. As additional basis, the Petitioners state that the Prairie Island reactor vessel head penetrations have stress corrosive cracks, which if not found and corrected may result in a catastrophic accident involving the reactor control rods. The Petitioners also raise concerns regarding the irradiated fuel retrievability plan and the use of the reactor core/spent fuel pool transfer channel. Finally, the Petitioners state that the physical integrity of the crane and its cable mechanisms are now in question due to the load of the cask hanging over the reactor pool for an extended period of

The Petition is being treated pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206 of the Commission's regulations and has been referred to the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. As provided by 10 CFR 2.206, appropriate action will be taken on this Petition within a reasonable time. By letter dated June 19, 1995, the Director denied the request for immediate suspension of the operating licenses of the Prairie Island Units 1 and 2.

A copy of the Petition and the Director's letter are available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC, and at the Local Public Document Room, Minneapolis Public Library, Technology and Science Department, 300 Nicollet Mall, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 19th day of June 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Frank J. Miraglia,

Deputy Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95–15531 Filed 6–23–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–M

[Docket Nos. 50-247 and 50-286]

Consolidated Edison Company of New York Power Authority of the State of New York; Receipt of Petition for Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that by a Petition dated May 18, 1995, the Westchester People's Action Coalition (WESPAC) requests that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) suspend the operating license of Indian Point Units 2 and 3 until completion of all the actions requested in NRC Generic Letter (GL) 95–03 "Circumferential Cracking of Steam Generator Tubes." WESPAC also asks that the NRC hold a public meeting to explain its response to the suspension request.

As the basis for this request, WESPAC notes that the NRC has issued GL 95-03 in response to the discovery of previously undetected steam generator tube cracks at the Maine Yankee plant. WESPAC further notes that although the GL calls for comprehensive examinations of steam generator tubes, it apparently permits licensees to postpone the examinations until the next scheduled steam generator tube inspections. On the basis that testing for cracks in steam generator tubes is both difficult and serious, in that a tube rupture could result in a radiological release from the primary system to the environment, WESPAC concludes that the additional time and expense resulting from completing the actions outlines in the GL now rather than at the next scheduled outages at Indian Point are outweighed by the risk of a core-melt accident.

WESPAC's requests are being treated pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206 of the Commission's regulations. The Petition has been referred to the Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR). As provided by Section 2.206, appropriate action will be taken on this Petition within a reasonable time. By letter dated June 16, 1995, the Director denied Petitioner's request for immediate suspension of the Indian Point operating licenses.

A copy of the Petition is available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC 20001.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 16th day of June 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Frank J. Miraglia,

Deputy Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95–15530 Filed 6–23–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–M